

Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Projects

The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission

Revision A

Deadline 7 July 2023

Document Reference: 21.10









Page 2 of 6

The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission

Doc. No. C282-EQ-Z-GA-00056 21.10

Rev. no. A

Title:				
Sheringham Shoal and Dudgeon Offshore Wind Farm Extension Projects Examination submission The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission				
PINS document no.: 21.10				
Document no.: C282-EQ-Z-GA-00056				
Date:	Classification			
July 2023	Final			
Prepared by:				
Equinor				
Approved by:		Date:		
Sarah Chandler, Equinor		July 2023		

Classification: Open Status: Final



Page 3 of 6

The Applicant's Comments on M	Ir Derek Aldous
Deadline 6 Submission	

Doc. No. C282-EQ-Z-GA-00056 21.10

Rev. no. A

T -	11	-	- 4	0 -	4-	4
ıa	n	Ie.	OT	LΩ	nte	nts

1	The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission	.4
Table o	of Tables	
Table 1	The Applicant's Comments on Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission	5

Classification: Open Status: Final



The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission

Doc. No. C282-EQ-Z-GA-00056 21.10

Rev. no. A

1 The Applicant's Comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission

1. This document presents the Applicant's comments on Mr Derek Aldous Deadline 6 submission [REP6-032].

Classification: Open Status: Final



Rev. no. A

Table 1 The Applicant's Comments on Derek Aldous Deadline 6 Submission

ID	Stakeholder Comment	Applicant Response						
Re	Report on the Implications for European Sites							
1	The Examining Authority has issued the Report on the Implications for European Sites (RIES) in document EN010109-001141 and has addressed the following question to all Interested Parties:	The Applicant refers to information provided in response to previous representations by Mr Aldous at Deadline 2 [REP3-106], Deadline 3 [REP4-041], Deadline 4 [REP5-055] and Deadline 5 [REP6-022] and reiterates that a consideration of grid connection alternatives in this manner is outside the scope of this Examination. The Applicant also refers to its responses to Q2.2.2.1 within The Applicant's Responses to the Examining Authority's Second Written Questions [REP3-101] with reference to alternative grid connection points.						
	RIES-Q2: To NE and all IPs - Except for those sites/features listed in Table 2-1 of this RIES, the ExA is not aware of any representations from IPs identifying any additional UK/European sites or qualifying features for inclusion in the Applicant's HRA. IPs are invited to comment.							
	This representation proposes the inclusion of sites and features arising from the consideration of an alternative grid connection point at Sutton Bridge, and gives reasons for their inclusion in the HRA.							
2	An alternative export cable route, landfall and grid connection point are described in REP3-172, EN010109-001254, pp. 8-12. The two options are compared below. In the case of a grid connection point at Norwich Main, the available onward grid transmission capacity would apparently be fully pre-empted by the existing grid connection agreements of Vanguard, Boreas and Hornsea Three and the derogation case set out by the Applicant necessarily fails. This difficulty does not appear to arise in the case of an alternative grid connection point at either Sutton Bridge or Walpole.	As detailed in Habitats Regulations Derogation: Provision of Evidence [APP-063], the Applicant put forward HRA derogation cases on the basis of the application and development applied for and, as per the Joint Natural England and Applicant Position on HRA Conclusions and Derogation Requirements provided within Appendix A.3 of Supporting Documents for the Applicant's Responses to the						
3	From these considerations, it follows that a successful derogation case and Habitats Regulations Assessment can only be put forward on the basis that either (a) the Vanguard, Boreas and Hornsea Three projects do not proceed with their existing grid connection offers at Necton and Norwich Main respectively, or (b) the Proposed Development adopts an alternative grid connection point.	Examining Authority's Fourth Written Questions [document reference 21.5.1], the Applicant and Natural England are agreed on all sites/features screened into the HRA. Details of the screening process are contained within						



Rev. no. A

